



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vugnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
097935,531	08/22/2001	John F. Turpin	7181 US	8705	
30078 7	7590 08/28/2003				
TEKTRONIX, INC.			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 500			HAVAN, TH	HAVAN, THU THAO	
BEAVERTON, OR 97077-0001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2672	4	
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2003	ک	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Gh

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		1
Office Action Summary	09/935,531	TURPIN ET AL.
omee Action Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communic	Thu-Thao Havan	2672
Period for Reply	anon appeare on an econor enece ma	, and convergence agained
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w - Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHill, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>22 August 2001</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL.	b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practic Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-9</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.		
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restricti	ion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.
	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed		approved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are requ	. •	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority d 	ocuments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received in App	plication No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Interna * See the attached detailed Office action 	f the priority documents have been re tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies not re	-
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	·	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	juage provisional application has bee	en received.
Attachment(s)	•	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 	O-948) 5) Notice of Inf	immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5

·Application/Control Number: 09/935,531

Art Unit: 2672

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim **1-3** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al. (US patent 4,870,348) in view of Thong (US patent 5,241,302).

Re claim 1, Smith discloses an activity display for multiple data channels of a communication link over a period of time comprising a quasi-three-dimensional graphics display having time periods as a first axis, data channels as a second axis orthogonal to the first axis (col. 1, lines 45-66; col. 3, lines 45-58; col. 4, lines 16-39; figs. 1-4); in other words, Smith teaches the activity of the electronic signals displaying on a quasi-3-dimensional display. The electronic signals displaying corresponds to multiple data channels of a communication link. Figures 2-4 graphically depict a color spectrogram with a number of spectra are aligned along a vertical time axis while the frequencies are shown on the horizontal frequency axis;

·Application/Control Number: 09/935,531

Art Unit: 2672

and data channels corresponding to a one's density for the data in the respective data channels during the respective time periods (col. 1, line 45 to col. 2, line 21). In other words, Smith discloses the spectrum analyzer visually compares certain band of frequencies to identity changes in the signal that are occurring over long periods of time. The spectrum analyzer has two types of quasi-3-dimensional displays such as the waterfall displays and color spectrogram displays. The color spectrogram displays a number of spectra which were generated over time as a series of colored lines. The variations in the colored lines disclose the density for the data of color in relation to the time periods.

However, Smith fails to disclose a shade within each rectangle defined by the time periods as claimed. On the other hand, Thong teaches a three-dimensional graphic having a shade within each rectangle defined by the time periods (col. 1, lines 53-64; col. 3, lines 8-43; fig.4). In other words, Thong teaches a graph in a histogram format with each bin of the histogram represents a frequency range and the height of a rectangle within a bin represents the number of sampling intervals in which the average frequency of the signal falls within the range. In figure 4 of Thong, he discloses a scale indicates the frequency range for each color. The different color defines the different shade of the frequency. The ranges consisting of a time period in the horizontal axis while the vertical axis represents the amplitude. Thus, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine a shade within each rectangle defined by the time periods of Thong to the system of Smith because it would have enabled displaying characteristics of signals where minimum amplitude of the signal during an interval is

· Application/Control Number: 09/935,531

Art Unit: 2672

Ÿ

graphed versus time (<u>Thong: col. 1, lines 53-64; col. 2, lines 28-45; col. 3, lines 8-43; fig. 4</u>).

Re claim **2**, Thong teaches shade is selected from a range of grey scale values (col. 3, lines 8-43). In other words, Thong discloses each range discloses a grey scale or color value. The range is shaded with a particular color base on the determined color value.

Re claim **3**, Thong teaches shade is selected from a plurality of color values (<u>col.</u> <u>3</u>, <u>lines 8-43</u>). In other words, Thong teaches a plurality of color values by the color of the box indicates the frequency range for the color.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims **4-9** are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Gee and Suzuki fail to teach capturing a line of data from the communication link, the line of data having one or more frames of data corresponding to a predetermined time period, each frame of data having data for the multiple data channels, processing each frame of the line of data in sequence to determine a ones density value for the data of each data channel during the predetermined time periods. Furthermore, they both fail to teach or suggest each frame in sequence of the line of data extracting data for the data channel of a current frame corresponding to a timeslot counter value, matching the extracted data against known data patterns, setting a pattern match indicator for the data channel according to whether a match is found with the known data patterns, if no match is

· Application/Control Number: 09/935,531

Art Unit: 2672

٠, ١

found recalculating the ones density based on the current frame and prior frames of the captured line of data, and repeating the extracting, checking, setting, and recalculating steps for each data channel of the current frame, in combination with the other elements of the claims, was not disclosed by, would not have been obvious over, nor would have been fairly suggested by the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Gee et al., US patent no. 5,581,517 is considered relevant because it disclosed multi-processing channels in relation to time period.
- Suzuki et al., US patent no. 5,615,996 is considered relevant because it disclosed a quasi-three-dimensional flow analysis.
- Brueschke, US patent no. 3,614,521 is considered relevant because it disclosed in real time a quasi three-dimensional presentation.

Application/Control Number: 09/935,531

Art Unit: 2672

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu-Thao Havan whose telephone number is (703) 308-7062. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

TTH

Art Unit: 2672 August 13, 2003

> JOSEPH MANCUSO RIMARY EXAMINER